UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT NORTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

WILLIAM E. WARD, JR.,

Petitioner,

J. SMITH, Superintendent,

9:03-CV-0270 (LEK)(DRH)

Res

Respondent.

**APPEARANCES:** 

٧.

OF COUNSEL:

WILLIAM E. WARD, JR. Petitioner, *pro se* 

HON. ELIOT SPITZER
New York State Attorney General
Attorney for Respondent

MARIA MORAN, Esq. Assistant Attorney General

LAWRENCE E. KAHN, U.S. District Judge

## ORDER

Petitioner William E. Ward, Jr. ("petitioner") filed a petition for a writ of habeas corpus with the Court on March 6, 2003. Dkt. No. 1. Because his prior petitions were found by the Court to be deficient, see Dkt. Nos. 8 and 12, petitioner filed an amended petition on June 2, 2003. Dkt. No. 13. The amended petition was recommended for denial and dismissal by the Report-Recommendation and Order of Magistrate Judge David R. Homer, which was filed on April 27, 2005. Dkt. No. 41. That Report-Recommendation was adopted, and the petition dismissed, by Order of this Court filed on September 26, 2005. Dkt. No. 44. Presently before the Court is a motion for a Certificate of Appealability ("COA") filed by the petitioner. Dkt. No. 47. Respondent opposes the motion for a Certificate of Appealability. Dkt. No. 48.

Section 2253(c)(1) of Title 28 of the United States Code provides, in pertinent part, that

[u]nless a circuit justice or judge issues a certificate of appealability, an appeal may not be taken to the court of appeals from –

- (A) the final order in a habeas corpus proceeding in which the detention complained of arises out of process issued by a State court; or
- (B) the final order in a proceeding under section 2255.

28 U.S.C. § 2253(c)(1).1

Furthermore, the Court may issue a certificate of appealability "only if the applicant has made a substantial showing of the denial of a constitutional right." 28 U.S.C. § 2253(c)(2).

After reviewing the relevant portions of the file, and for the reasons set forth in Magistrate Judge Homer's Report-Recommendation and this Court's September 26, 2005 Order, the Court finds that the petitioner has failed to make the required showing.

WHEREFORE, it is hereby

**ORDERED**, that petitioner's motion for a Certificate of Appealability (Dkt. No. 47) is **DENIED**; and it is further

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Likewise, Rule 22 of the Federal Rules of Appellate Procedure provides, in pertinent part, that "[i]n a habeas corpus proceeding in which the detention complained of arises from process issued by a state court, or in a 28 U.S.C. § 2255 proceeding, the applicant cannot take an appeal unless a circuit justice or a circuit or district judge issues a certificate of appealability under 28 U.S.C. § 2253(c)." FED. R. APP. P. 22(b)(1).

**ORDERED**, that the Clerk of the Court serve a copy of this Order upon the parties.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

DATED: November 15, 2005

Albany, New York

Lawrence E. Kahn U.S. District Judge